

# Peer Review - CYBER CRIME IN A CRIMINOLOGY PERSPECTIVE

From [Journal IJoSPL](#) on 2023-01-12 07:55

[Details](#) [Headers](#) [HTML](#)

Dear Author,

Please revise your papers according our reviewers team comment below:

## Introduction and Review of Literature

- A competent introduction should include at least four key concepts: 1) significance of the topic, the information gap in the available literature associated with the topic, 3) a literature review in support of the key questions, 4) subsequently developed purposes/objectives and hypotheses.

## Methods

- Although it is a good idea for the authors to have justification and a rationale for their procedures, these should be saved for inclusion into the discussion section, not to be discussed in the methods section.
- However, occasionally studies supporting components of the methods section such as reliability of tests, or validation of outcome measures may be included in the methods section.
- The final portion of the methods section will include the statistical methods used to analyze the data.<sup>19</sup>This does not mean that the actual results should be discussed in the methods section, as they have an entire section of their own!
- Most scientific journals support the need for all projects involving humans or animals to have up-to-date documentation of ethical approval.<sup>20</sup> The methods section should include a clear statement that the researchers have obtained approval from an appropriate institutional review board.

## Results and Discussion

- Your results should be organised into an orderly and logical sequence. Only the most relevant results should be described in the text, to highlight the most important points. Figures, tables, and equations should be used for purposes of clarity and brevity. Data should not be reproduced in more than one form, for example in both figures and tables, without good reason.
- The purpose of the discussion is to explain the meaning of your results and why they are important.
- You should state the impact of your results compared with recent work and relate it back to the problem or question you posed in your introduction. Ensure claims are backed up by evidence and explain any complex arguments.

## CONCLUSIONS

- A few suggestions have been offered in this commentary that may assist the novice or the developing writer to attempt, polish, and perfect their approach to scholarly writing.
- This is for interpretation of the key results and to highlight the novelty and significance of the work. The conclusions should not summarise information already present in the article or abstract. You can also

include any plans for relevant future work here.

#### References

- Use APA style
- Use recent at least 5 years later references
- Use 40 references minimum